

To: [redacted]@minvws.nl; [redacted]@minvws.nl
Cc: [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted]@ec.europa.eu;
 [redacted]@hoyngrokh.com; [redacted]@hoyngrokh.com]; [redacted]@minvws.nl; [redacted]@minvws.nl];
 [redacted]@minvws.nl; [redacted]@minvws.nl]; [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted]@ec.europa.eu];
 [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted]@ec.europa.eu]; [redacted]@aemps.es; [redacted]@aemps.es]
From: [redacted]@ec.europa.eu
Sent: Mon 8/10/2020 10:49:11 AM
Subject: RE: new draft text on [redacted]
Received: Mon 8/10/2020 10:49:14 AM
 [redacted] [draft 06.08.2020 \[redacted\] comments.docx](#)

Dear [redacted]

Please find enclosed some edits on the J&J [redacted] in our view subject to small adjustments, this is ready for a political decision.

Best, [redacted], [redacted] & [redacted]

From: [redacted] <[redacted]@minvws.nl>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2020 11:27 AM
To: [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted]@ec.europa.eu;
 [redacted]@aemps.es
Cc: [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted]@hoyngrokh.com; [redacted]
 <[redacted]@minvws.nl>; [redacted] <[redacted]@minvws.nl>; [redacted]
 <[redacted]@ec.europa.eu>
Subject: RE: new draft text on [redacted]

Colleagues,

Please let me know whether you would like to coordinate on the [redacted] paragraph before our meeting with J&J on Monday 17h00.

To our opinion, in the overall compromise of the TS, this clause is now the best we can get. [redacted]
 [redacted]
 [redacted] as addressed by [redacted] as well.

Regards,

[redacted]

Van: [redacted]@ec.europa.eu <[redacted]@ec.europa.eu>
Verzonden: donderdag 6 augustus 2020 18:05
Aan: [redacted] <[redacted]@minvws.nl>; [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted]@aemps.es
CC: [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted]@hoyngrokh.com; [redacted] <[redacted]@minvws.nl>; [redacted]
 [redacted] <[redacted]@minvws.nl>; [redacted]@ec.europa.eu
Onderwerp: RE: new draft text on [redacted]

Many thanks for this. We need to give it a bit more thought but at first sight we are not far away from you. This is MUCH better than in the context of another discussion we are having in parallel. [redacted]
 [redacted] We can be positive in spirit but as said let's give it a bit more thought

From: [redacted] <[redacted]@minvws.nl>
Sent: Thursday, August 6, 2020 4:49 PM
To: [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted]@ec.europa.eu;
 [redacted]@aemps.es
Cc: [redacted]@ec.europa.eu; [redacted] <[redacted]@hoyngrokh.com>;
 [redacted] <[redacted]@minvws.nl>; [redacted] <[redacted]@minvws.nl>
Subject: new draft text on [redacted]

Dear 5.1.2e and 5.1.2e and 5.1.2e,

We have internally discussed the proposed draft by J&J. Conclusion: they did some good drafting and have drafted a compromise that hurts on both sides of the table and therefore might be a good compromise.

- They accepted our proposal on 5.1.1c
- They accepted 5.1.1c through a different wording
- 5.1.1c is acceptable for us
- Wording in 5.1.1c is slightly better than what it was
- Deletion of 5.1.1c is a give-away (-)
-

5.1.1c

So altogether this text is acceptable to us.

We would like to pose a question about the meaning of 5.1.1c
5.1.1c are ok for us.

Hope you have same views.

Regards,